# **Equality Impact Assessment – Ref Number: 2257**

# PART A Introductory Information

#### **Proposal name**

Recommended Responses to Representations on the Publication Draft Sheffield Plan

### Brief aim(s) of the proposal and the outcome(s) you want to achieve

The report and associated appendices recommend the Council's response to the representations received as a result of public consultation on the Publication Draft Sheffield Plan that took place from 9<sup>th</sup> January to 20<sup>th</sup> February 2023. The main body of the Committee report highlights the key issues that were raised during the consultation and outlines the recommended Council response. The Council's full response is set out in Appendix 1 – the Consultation Statement (which must be submitted to the Government with the Publication Draft Plan).

Appendix 2 recommends a schedule of suggested amendments to the Plan that are derived from the recommended responses set out in the Consultation Statement. Officers consider that these amendments are needed to make the Publication Draft Plan 'sound'. If approved by full Council, they would be submitted to the Government alongside the Draft Plan. The amendments will then be considered by an independent Planning Inspector as part of the public examination (following submission of the Plan to Government).

Appendix 3 of the report lists recommended other minor amendments to the Plan to correct errors (mainly typos) or update factual information that has altered since the Plan was approved by full Council in December 2022. These do not need to be considered by the Planning Inspector.

For ease of reference, the proposed amendments are set out in a tracked change version of the Publication Draft Sheffield Plan at Appendix 4 (showing all the suggested changes that are listed in Appendices 2 and 3).

Appendix 5 provides a list of the 'submission documents' and, when relevant, includes updated positions on these documents. Updates will generally have resulted in response to comments received as part of the public consultation, and/or owing to the iterative nature of these documents. An updated Infrastructure Delivery Plan is shown at Appendix 6.

The report also sets out the timetable and process for submitting the Sheffield Plan to the Government for public examination.

A demographic breakdown of respondents is included in Appendix 6.

This EIA updates the previous EIA undertaken when the Publication Draft Sheffield Plan was approved for public consultation in December 2022.

Proposal type						
O Budget	<ul><li>Non Budget</li></ul>					
If Budget, is it E	If Budget, is it Entered on Q Tier?					
○ Yes	$\circ$ No					
If yes what is the	Q Tier reference					

Year of p	roposal (s	)				
O 21/22	22/23		24/25	O other		
21/22	22/23	23/24   2	24/23			
Decision	Type					
Coop E						
•		Health Comm	ittee) wl	nich committe	ee	
○ Leade						
	ual Coop E tive Directo	Exec Member				
		(Non-Key)				
		dget and Hous	sing Rev	enue Accour	nt)	
<ul><li>Regula</li></ul>	atory Comr	nittees (e.g. L	icensing	Committee)		
Lead Con	nmittee M	ember	Cllr To	om Hunt		
l aad Dire	ector for P	ronosal				
Kate Mai		Горозаі				
Nate ivial	i ui i					
		is EIA form	1			
Simon Vi	incent					
EIA start	date	17 July	2023 (	update to E	EIA 3 Nov 2022)	
Equality	Lead Offi	cer				
O Adele	e Robinsor	1		O Ed Sext	ton	
O Anne	emarie Joh	nston		<ul><li>Louise I</li></ul>	Nunn	
O Bash	ir Khan			O Beverle	y Law	
Lead Equ	ıalitv Obie	ctive ( <u>see for</u>	detail)			
		( <u></u>	,			
O	otondina	O Workforce	I	eading the ci	•	•
	standing unities	Diversity	I	n celebrating or romoting	& and implications	
				nclusion		
Portfol	io, Serv	ice and To	eam			
ls this Cr	oss-Portfo	olio	Р	ortfolio/s		
<ul><li>Yes</li></ul>		O No	City Fu	tures		
		L				
	joint with a	another organ	•	•		
○ Yes		<ul><li>No</li></ul>	Р	lease specify		

Yes consultation is	equired? (Read the guidance in relation to this area)  O No s not required, please state why
	as already been carried out, please provide details of the alities analysis
Consultation on the February 2023. The between November purpose of the Issuraddressed in Sheffi and Option documents. The document future developments.	e Publication Draft Sheffield Plan took place between 9 <sup>th</sup> January and 20 <sup>th</sup> is followed consultation previously undertaken on 'Issues and Options' er 2015 and January 2016 and September to October 2020. The main less and Options document was to seek views on what matters should be field's new local plan (what we are calling 'The Sheffield Plan'). The Issues ent was <b>not</b> a draft local plan but was an early step towards producing a not highlighted some of the main challenges and opportunities relating to an and planning of the city, proposed an overall vision and aims, and set outlions for meeting Sheffield's future development needs.
	out for the Issues and Options document and in relation to a report to th utive on the spatial options in February 2022.
use of land but will will support econo number of higher in new homes, include and sites for Trave providing land for have positive impa- access to, public tr	noted that the Local Plan is primarily concerned with the development an I have significant benefits in terms of advancing equality of opportunity. I smic growth and job creation, with a specific objective to increase the paid jobs and raise average incomes. It will help increase the supply of ling affordable homes, homes for disabled people, homes for older people llers. The plan will help to deliver and support essential infrastructure - schools, health facilities, open space and other local services. It will also acts in terms of transport and travel – supporting enhancement of, and ransport and promoting active travel (with associated health benefits). place-making can provide opportunities for communities to meet and better relations.
The soundness tes	e recent consultation on the Draft Plan was to assess whether it is 'sound' ts are set out in national planning policy — a local plan has to be positively , effective and be consistent with national planning.
	aft Sheffield Plan was the subject of public consultation in accordance with

If you have said no to either please say why

# **Initial Impact**

Under the Public Sector Equality Duty we have to pay due regard to the need to:

- eliminate discrimination, harassment and victimisation
- advance equality of opportunity
- foster good relations

For a range of people who share protected characteristics, more information is available on the <u>Council website</u> including the <u>Community Knowledge Profiles</u>.

# **Identify Impacts**

#### Identify which characteristic the proposal has an impact on tick all that apply

	• • •
Health	○ Transgender
● Age	<ul><li>Carers</li></ul>
<ul><li>Disability</li></ul>	<ul> <li>Voluntary/Community &amp; Faith Sectors</li> </ul>
<ul><li>Pregnancy/Maternity</li></ul>	<ul><li>Cohesion</li></ul>
Race	<ul><li>Partners</li></ul>
O Religion/Belief	Poverty & Financial Inclusion
○ Sex	O Armed Forces
Sexual Orientation	O Other

Cumulative	impact	

Does the	Proposal have a cumulative impact?
<ul><li>Yes</li></ul>	○ No

<ul><li>Year of</li></ul>	n Year	<ul> <li>Across a Community of Identity/Interes</li> </ul>	st
<ul><li>Geogr</li></ul>	aphical Area	O Other	

#### If yes, details of impact

The Sheffield Plan sets out how the city will grow and develop over the period 2022-2039. It includes an annual requirement for both housing delivery and the development of employment land. New development will therefore accumulate year on year.

The Plan covers the whole city but certain areas are more affected by new development than others. The Central Sub-Area (covering the City Centre) is expected to accommodate over half of all new housing that is planned (over 18,600 homes by 2039). The other 6 Subs- Areas see lower levels of development, with relatively little change in Chapeltown/High Green.

# Local Area Committee Area(s) impacted

● All ○ Specific

If Specific, name of Local Committee Area(s) impacted

#### **Initial Impact Overview**

# Based on the information about the proposal what will be the overall equality impact?

The Draft Sheffield Plan will have an overall positive impact, will support the duty to enhance equality of opportunity and will not result in discrimination of any equality impact group. There could be some negative impacts as a result of aiming to achieve the housing growth and economic growth required by the city, whilst trying to enable choice and opportunity. Achieving the level of growth set out in the Draft Sheffield Plan potentially has massive equality benefits for a large number of people through the provision of more, better quality homes and the provision of new jobs.

The Cooperative Executive made a decision on the overall spatial strategy in February 2022. At that point it was emphasised that there are some potentially negative equality impacts of pursuing a growth strategy that does not allow any release of greenfield sites in the Green Belt. Limiting development to the existing urban areas (with no outward expansion of the built-up areas) means that levels of growth are restricted in some areas. In particular, this is likely to reduce the overall number of affordable homes that can be delivered (because it is generally more viable to provide affordable housing on greenfield sites). However, the decision by the Cooperative Executive reflects the priority that has been given to the Climate and Biodiversity Emergencies – promoting more sustainable travel and protecting ecologically valuable areas. That said, development will take place in many locations across the whole city.

The decision to focus so much new growth in the Central Sub-Area is likely to disproportionately impact BAME communities who are more concentrated there. Whilst new development is potentially beneficial (in terms of access to new homes and jobs, etc), it needs to be supported by sufficient community services and facilities.

Attention is drawn to the following policies which are expected to have positive impacts:

- Policies SP1 and SP2 promote new employment opportunities to meet future needs of the working age population
- Policy NC3 requires provision of affordable housing on larger housing sites where economically viable
- Policy NC4 100% of new homes to be accessible and adaptable and, in larger developments (50 or more new homes), 2% to be wheelchair adaptable or accessible dwellings
- Policy NC4 specialist housing designated for older or disabled people is promoted in areas of need; all such homes must be fully wheelchair accessible
- Policies H1 and NC7 provision of accommodation for Gypsies & Travellers (including Travelling Showpeople)
- Policy NC11 acceptable walking distances to local services and facilities varied to take account of the mobility of the intended residents
- Policy NC12 controls over new hot-food takeaways close to secondary schools
- Policy NC15 new open space including provision for children on new housing developments
- Policy EC7 encourages the provision of jobs for local people
- Policy CO2 Parking Guidelines accessible parking required for disabled people
- Policy DE3 entrances to buildings to be accessible, avoiding the need for separate arrangements
- Policy DE4 spaces around and between buildings to be inclusive and dementia friendly
- Policy DE5 roads, pedestrian routes and areas, cycleways, and public spaces to adhere to the principles of inclusive design

The forecast future demographic changes will create some significant challenges for the city. In particular, the number of older people is expected to rise by 20% by 2035 meaning there will

be major demands on health facilities, social care and housing. In the Sheffield Plan, we seek to address these problems where it is possible to do so through new development.

Is a Full impact Assessment required at this stage? ● Yes ○ No

If the impact is more than minor, in that it will impact on a particular protected characteristic you must complete a full impact assessment below.

### Part B

# **Full Impact Assessment**

or use of public transport:

Health			
		ive a significant in the wider determi	npact on health and well-being nants of health)?
• Yes	○ No	if Yes, complete	e section below
Staff		Customers	
○ Yes	● No	<ul><li>Yes</li></ul>	○ No
Details of i	mpact		
• w	ore active travel hether new hom here there are o ealth	(walking and cycling) bes would be built when pportunities for recrea	evelopment would enable and encourage but also reduce vehicular emissions are there is good access to open space and ation – affecting both mental and physical aity by limiting the number of hot-food
areas, esp	ecially within the		f brownfield sites within the existing urban field (where 18,600 new homes are proposed velopment).
built-up a jobs and s People liv poorer air on foot or air quality	reas where deverences are likely ing in the Central quality overall by cycle. The Claron problems and poeriod covered by	lopment is likely to be to be greater. Howevel Area and eastern side out the Central Area also lean Air Zone and various rovide more attractive by the Local Plan, the sw	s in the Green Belt on the edge of the existing more car dependent and travel distances to ver, this will vary from location to location. It is of the city are more likely to experience so offers greater opportunities to make trips ous transport initiatives are seeking to tackle e alternatives to diesel and petrol vehicles.

A number of the development management policies include criteria that support active travel

- Policy NC1: Principles Guiding the Development of Strategic Housing Sites promotes the creation of 'walkable neighbourhoods';
- Policy NC7: Criteria for Assessing New Gypsy and Traveller and Travelling Showpeople
   Sites sites required to be within easy walking distance of a bus or tram stop on a route providing the minimum service frequency standard;
- Policy NC9: Housing Density promotes higher densities (and therefore more people) in the most accessible locations;
- Policy NC10: Development in District and Local Centres identified as the focus for the creation of '20-minute neighbourhoods where most of peoples' daily needs can be met within a 10-minute walk or cycle ride;
- Policy NC11: Access to Key Local Services and Community Facilities in New Residential Developments – accessibility standards set out for access to public transport and local services and facilities for development of 10 or more new homes;
- Policy CO1: Development and Trip Generation includes provisions and incentives to increase sustainable and active travel and reduce reliance on the car
- Policy CO2: Parking Provision in New Development residential development in the Central Sub-Area should be car-free or provide 1 space per dwelling where a need can be demonstrated. Also covers provision for cycle parking and facilities for zero emission vehicles.

Access to recreational open space will also vary according to location. People living in the Central Area will generally have poorer access to open space than those living in suburban areas or on the edge of the built-up area. However, new open space or public spaces are planned in several of the Priority Locations for development in the Central Area.

The availability of open space in different locations has been assessed as part of the detailed site selection process. On-site open space is usually required in new housing developments of 100 or more new homes, except where it is not practical to do so (though in such cases a contribution to off-site provision will be required).

The plan includes a specific policy (NC12) that seeks to limit the number of hot-food takeaways close to secondary schools. This is part of the Council's Food Strategy which seeks to reduce levels of obesity and associated ill health, enabling children and the wider community to make healthier food choices.

Comprehensive Health impact Assessment being completed
○ Yes • No
Please attach health impact assessment as a supporting document below.
Public Health Leads has signed off the health impact(s) of this EIA
○ Yes ○ No
Name of Health Lead Officer

## Age

# Impact on Staff ○ Yes • No

# Impact on Customers ○ Yes ■ No

#### **Details of impact**

The decision on where and how much previously undeveloped/Green Belt land to release for development is likely to impact on the type and affordability of housing that is provided. It is likely to be more economically viable to provide affordable homes on greenfield sites in areas where land values are higher. So, providing more greenfield land for development is likely to benefit younger people (and other households) on lower incomes.

The decision on whether to release greenfield land for development has been balanced with the need to promote more sustainable patterns of development (in response to the Climate and Biodiversity Emergencies).

The preferred spatial option (Option 3) will potentially enable some previously undeveloped land in the urban areas to be developed, including for affordable and specialist housing. These sites are likely to be more economically viable than many brownfield sites. Options 4 and 5 would, however, have enabled more sites to be provided for specialist housing in areas where suitable sites could otherwise be lacking.

Policy NC4 Housing for Independent and Supported Living – promotes the provision of specialist housing for older or disabled people in areas of need. We have proposed an amendment to this policy which states that proposals will be acceptable where the accommodation would be close to local facilities, particularly public transport, shops, and health services.

The Draft Plan includes a number of references to development being dementia-friendly:

- Policy NC1 Principles Guiding the Development of Strategic Housing Sites development to be designed to be a 'lifetime neighbourhood' and dementiafriendly'
- Policy NC15 requires new open space to be publicly accessible, safe and follow the principles of inclusive and dementia friendly design
- Policy DE3 Public Realm and Landscape Design states that landscaping proposals should be inclusive, dementia friendly, improve vitality and safety, and encourage active and healthy lifestyles
- Policy DE4 Design of Streets, Roads and Parking states that they should adhere to the principles of inclusive and dementia friendly design

# **Disability**

Impact	on	Staff	
O \/		_	N.

# **Impact on Customers**

○ Yes • No

 $\circ$  No

### **Details of impact**

The location of new development will affect the ease with which disabled people are able to travel to local services and facilities. However, this will vary from location to location depending on the distances involved and access to public transport. These matters have been considered fully through the detailed site selection process.

Yes

A large proportion of the housing that is proposed in the Central Area is likely to be apartments and much of that is likely to have limited or no off-street parking. This would potentially disadvantage disabled people who require a car for their mobility. This could, however, be mitigated by ensuring that new developments comply with the Parking Guidelines ensuring that appropriate parking provision is provided for disabled people. We have proposed an amendment to the Parking Guidelines in Annex B in relation to car free housing development which makes it clear that provision will be required for disabled parking in the Central Area.

Many disabled people also have lower incomes so providing more greenfield land for development would potentially have benefited disabled people (see comments in relation to Age above). The spatial approach of allowing some previously undeveloped land in the urban areas to be developed potentially increases the supply of affordable housing but the impact is quite limited.

Under the Draft Plan (Policy NC4) all new homes will be required to be 'accessible and adaptable' (complying with Building Regulations M4(2)). 2% of homes on developments of 50 or more new homes will be required to be wheelchair adaptable or accessible. There is some concern that this figure is too low and schemes of 49 homes or less would not be required to provide wheelchair adaptable or accessible homes. However, setting the requirement any higher would reduce the number of affordable homes that can be delivered. Affordable housing can be more readily targeted at those people who require wheelchair accessible housing (whereas there is no guarantee that wheelchair adaptable homes provided in market housing schemes will be purchased by someone who needs such housing. Nationally, 1.9% of households include someone who uses a wheelchair.

The proposed amendment to Policy NC4 (referred to under 'Age' above) should improve development outcomes for older people.

Sex				
Impact on Staff ○ Yes ● No		Impact on Cus ○ Yes	stomers ● No	
Details of impact				
Pregnancy/Mate	rnity			
Impact on Staff		Impact on Cu	stomers	
○ Yes	<ul><li>No</li></ul>	○ Yes	<ul><li>No</li></ul>	
Details of impact				
Race				
Itacc				
Impact on Staff		Impact on Cu	stomers	
O Yes	○ No	<ul><li>Yes</li></ul>	○ No	
Details of impact				
•	an seeks to ma	ximise the use of bro	wnfield sites within the	existing
			d (where over 18,600 ne	•
are proposed and sig	nificant office,	retail and leisure deve	elopment). Many of the	brownfield
sites are concentrate	d in areas in ea	st Sheffield where the	ere are significant BAME	
		•	efit these communities	_
employment and hou	ising opportuni	ties. Also see Health	and Poverty & Inclusion	sections.
The largest number of	f objections to	the Publication Draft	Sheffield Plan were in re	elation to
			Eckington Way (Site Allo	
		•	reasons for not allocati	_
the Council has a stat	utory responsi	bility to provide for G	ypsies and Travellers tha	it travel for

work. Gypsies and Travellers have the same right to have their housing needs met as anyone else. The site is close to local services, shops and facilities, so is a sustainable location to live. A failure to allocate sufficient sites for Gypsies and Travellers would have a serious negative

impact on that community.

Religion/Belief					
Impact on Staff ○ Yes	● No	Impact O Yes	on Customers ● No		
Details of impact	Details of impact				
Sexual Orientat	ion				
Impact on Staff  O Yes	● No	Impact on Cus	stomers • No		
Details of impact	_	0 100			
Gender Reassig	ınment (Tra	ansgender)			
Impact on Staff  ○ Yes	• No	Impact on C ○ Yes	Customers ● No		
Details of impact					
Carers					
Impact on Staff  ● Yes	O No	Impact on Cus  ● Yes	stomers O No		
Details of impact					
	ifferent modes o	of transport. Access to	th staff providing care can reach o sites by different modes has been		
			eas and maintaining a compact ed by public transport (although		

eved will depend to a constant land for both office and a	O No os per year over the period 2022-2039,
eved will depend to a constant land for both office and a	
eved will depend to a constant land for both office and a	
ng developments in the li	siderable extent on wider economic general industrial uses. Particular nnovation District that will deliver
igh deprivation and there ared with these local resid to be secured by major e aining opportunities, to be when practical, the occupa	ent' recognises that new development e is a danger that the benefits of dents. The Policy therefore expects employment-generating developments e taken up by local people during both ation phase.
Impact on Cu ○ Yes ● N	ustomers No
lman act an Cu	
<del>-</del>	
n i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i	Impact on Cu

Armed Forces				
Impact on Staff  O Yes	● No	Impact on Cus ○ Yes	etomers • No	
Details of impact				
Other				
Please specify				
Impact on Staff		Impact on Cus	tomers	
○ Yes	$\circ$ No	○ Yes	○ No	
<b>D</b>				
Details of impact				

### **Action Plan and Supporting Evidence**

# What actions will you take to mitigate any equality impacts identified? Please include an Action Plan with timescales

As part of the consultation exercise, emails or letters were sent to all the organisations, businesses and individuals who are registered on the Sheffield Plan database, alerting them to the start of the consultation. The consultation was also publicised through social media and through Local Area Committee (LAC) mailings. A range of meetings and drop-in sessions were held during the consultation period, including presentations and/or staffed exhibitions with all 7 LACs.

Consultation events were held with the following organisations representing people with protected characteristics:

- The Access Liaison Group
- Sheffield and District African Caribbean Community Association (SADACCA)
- Celebrating Diversity Drop-in event
- Women's Wellbeing Café Q&A
- Age Uk

A number of the amendments outlined in the sections above address concerns made in representations from the Access Liaison Group. However, changes to the Plan have not been made where the change proposed was not necessary to make the Plan sound or where it would result in the Plan being overly detailed. In many cases these issues will be addressed in future supplementary planning documents or other strategies.

As part of the consultation, individuals who made comments through the Local Plan consultation portal were asked to provide details of their age, sex, ethnicity, disability, gender, sexual orientation and religion/belief. Respondents were also asked if they were a carer. A demographic breakdown of respondents is included in Appendix 7 of the report to the Strategy & Resources Policy Committee. Of those who responded to the questions:

- Only 3% were aged 24 or under;
- 62% of respondents were aged 55 or over;
- There were roughly equal numbers of male and female respondents;
- 1 was from a person whose gender identity is different to their sex registered at birth;
- 2 (1%) did not identify as male or female;
- 10% were from people who were gay/bisexual/lesbian/gay woman/another;
- 13.5% considered themselves to be disabled;
- 13.5% were carers;
- 60% had no religious belief;
- 36% were Christian (all denominations);
- 4% were from ethnic minorities.

Overall, therefore, the number of people from ethnic minorities who responded was disappointing. Older people, disabled people and women were generally well represented in terms of people who responded to the question.

A Integrated Impact Assessment (December 2022) was produced in support of the Publication Draft Sheffield Plan and has informed the assessment above.

Detail any changes made as a result of the EIA				
Following mitigation is there still significant risk of impact on a protected characteristic. O Yes • No  If yes, the EIA will need corporate escalation? Please explain below				
Sign Off - P	art B (FIA Lead to complete)			
Sign Off – Part B (EIA Lead to complete)  EIAs must be agreed and signed off by the Equality lead Officer in your Portfolio or corporately. Has this been signed off?				
O Yes	○ No			
Date agreed	DD/MM/YYYY			
Name of EIA lead officer Louise Nunn				
L				

DD/MM/YYYY

**Review Date**