

# EAST RIDING LOCAL PLAN UPDATE HEARINGS

## AGENDA

**Wednesday 1<sup>st</sup> November 2023**  
**9.30am at County Hall, Beverley HU17 9BA**

### **MATTER 2 – VISION, OBJECTIVES AND SPATIAL DEVELOPMENT STRATEGY**

#### Please note:

- Please see the Hearings Programme for the list of participants.
- Hearing Statements by other parties and background documents produced by the Council can be viewed on the Examination website.
- If you wish to attend the hearing session to observe, please contact the Programme Officer in advance (booking is essential). Alternatively, the hearing sessions will be livestreamed and available to watch online.
- Please note – this session is timetabled for the whole day. Please see footnote on the next page for the afternoon session timings.

#### Morning session at 9.30am

1. Inspector's introduction
2. Housing growth in Goole
  - Does the Level 2 Strategic Flood Risk Assessment for Goole (2020) (the L2 SFRA) (document EXAM1H) use appropriate inputs relating to river levels, the breach period and the breach invert level?
  - What are the key results of the L2 SFRA (2020) and how do they compare to other recent studies in the area?
  - Does the flood risk evidence show that housing allocations in Goole are not a reasonable spatial option for development?
  - What are the implications of the flood risk evidence for windfall housing and other types of development in Goole?
3. Approach to housing growth in Hedon
  - Are parts of sites HED-3, 4, 5, 6, 11 and 13 located in Flood Zone 3a, in addition to site HED-8?
  - Why were these sites not appraised as reasonable alternatives in the site assessment process?

- If site options in breach and overtopping regions can be made safe in terms of flood risk for their lifetime (as referred to in paragraph M6 in the Council's Hearing Statement), why were they not appraised as reasonable alternatives in the site assessment process?
  - Why does the Council conclude that sustainability benefits of development on these sites would not outweigh the risk of flooding, and therefore the second part of the exceptions test would not be met? (as set out in paragraph M6 in the Council's Hearing Statement)
  - How would the two new flood alleviation schemes in the Hedon area, as referred to in paragraph M3 in the Council's Hearing Statement, impact on the assessment of flood risk around the town?
  - Has the strategic approach of resisting further housing development on the edge of Hedon been subject to Sustainability Appraisal?
4. Justification for the strategic approach of resisting further housing development on the edge of Gilberdyke/Newport, Leven, Stamford Bridge, Bilton, Dunswell, Rawcliffe, Thorngumbald, Tickton and Woodmansey
- Justification in relation to the flood risk villages
  - Levels of recent housing growth in Stamford Bridge and Woodmansey and how it compares to recent housing growth in other settlements
  - What is the Local Highways Authority's position on further housing development in Stamford Bridge and which site options have fundamental constraints relating to heritage and ecology?
  - Employment development in Woodmansey
  - Have the three strategies to resist housing allocations on the edge of the above villages been subject to Sustainability Appraisal?

Afternoon session starting 1-2pm<sup>1</sup>

5. Council's proposed modifications to Policy S2 Addressing Climate Change (SD/8 and SD/9 as set out in document EXAM1C)
6. Role of the Major Haltemprice Settlements
- Main reasons for the lower distribution of growth in Policy S5
  - Why is the strategy towards development in the Major Haltemprice Settlements not reflected in Policy S3?
  - Why are separate housing figures not provided for each of the Major Haltemprice Settlements in Policy S5?

---

<sup>1</sup> The start time will depend on how long the morning session takes. Participants who wish to attend the afternoon session only are requested to arrive by 1pm. It is anticipated that the afternoon session will start at some point between 1 and 2pm.

7. Policy S4 Development in Villages and Countryside
  - Council's proposed modifications to part D of Policy S4, as set out in SD/13, SD/14 and SD/15 in document EXAM1C
  - Council's proposed modification to insert reference to Traveller Sites in part D of Policy S4 (as set out on page 7 of the Council's Hearing Statement)
  - Any other comments on Policy S4?
  
8. Testing of reasonable alternative options for the proposed overall spatial distribution of growth (as outlined in section H2 in the Council's Hearing Statement)
  - Under option 2a, which category of settlement or specific settlement was assumed to accommodate a 'significant extension'?
  - Any comments on the range of alternative general spatial options assessed?
  
9. Any comments on the selection of Howden as the preferred location to accommodate Goole's housing growth or the scale of proposed growth proposed?
  
10. Any other comments on the settlement groupings or positions within Policy S3 or the distribution of growth in Policy S5 and table 3?
  
11. Any other comments on Matter 2?